

**Comparison table between
the latest draft
Rules of the High Court (Cap. 4A)
and the draft
Rules of the District Court (Cap. 336H)**

**Proposed Amendments to the
Rules of the District Court (“RDC”) (Cap. 336H)**

In the draft RDC, a prefix “(DC)” will be inserted to the title of those rules which have no equivalence to the latest draft Rules of the High Court (RHC”) (Cap. 4A), or are substantially different from the corresponding provision in the RHC for easy reference.

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 1 – Citation, etc., Application, Interpretation and Forms		
(1) Amendments in rr.2(3) & (5)	Amendments of RHC not followed as DC has no such jurisdiction.	Substantially the same, with some variations in RDC on account of the difference in jurisdiction between the High Court (“HC”) and the District Court (“DC”)
(2) —	<p>r.2 of RDC is amended –</p> <p>1. to clarify that the practice and procedure for appeal under O.58 of RDC apply to proceedings in DC under the Adoption Ordinance, the Domestic Violence Ordinance and Part III of the Landlord and Tenant (Consolidation) Ordinance;</p> <p>2. to delete obsolete reference to proceedings under the Business Registration Ordinance.</p>	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
(3) Definitions of “aided person”, “practice direction”, “pre-action protocol” and “Amendment Rules 2007” in r.4	Amendments of RHC followed	
(4) —	Definition of “action for personal injuries” in r.4 of RHC added for consistency between RHC & RDC.	
(5) —	r.7A of RHC added for consistency between RHC & RDC.	
Order 1A – Objectives		
Addition of O.1A on Objectives	Amendments of RHC followed	The same
Order 1B – Case Management Powers		
Addition of O.1B on Case Management Powers	Amendments of RHC followed	The same
Order 2 – Effect of Non-compliance		
(1) Amendments in r.1(3)	Amendments of RHC followed	The same
(2) Amendments in r.2(2)	Amendments of RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC.	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
(3) Additions of rr.3, 4 & 5	Amendments of RHC followed	
Order 3 – Time		
—	No amendment	Substantially the same, with no provision for vacation business in RDC.
Order 4 – Transfer and Consolidation of Proceedings		
—	r.1 amended, consequential to the amendments in s.42 of District Court Ordinance (“DCO”) (Cap. 336).	Substantially the same, with r.1 in RDC to deal with application to transfer proceedings to the Court of First Instance or the Lands Tribunal.
Order 5 – Mode of Beginning Civil Proceedings in Court		
(1) Amendments in r.1	Amendments of RHC not followed, as motions and petitions are not generally available in DC	Substantially the same, with r.6 of RHC (right to sue in person) omitted, given O.5A of RDC and the transitional provision in r.7 (validity of proceedings previously commenced by originating motion & petition) omitted in RDC as motions and petitions are not generally available in DC.
(2) Deletion of rr.2 and 3	Amendments of RHC followed	
(3) Amendments in r.4	Amendments of RHC followed	
(4) Amendments in r.5	The whole r.5 of RHC (with latest amendments) added for consistency between RHC & RDC.	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 5A – Right to Act in Person		
—	No amendment	Specific provision for DC
Order 6 – Writs of Summons : General Provisions		
Amendments in r.2	Amendments of RHC followed	Substantially the same, with r.5(1)(c) in RDC added given O.5A of RDC.
Order 7 – Originating Summonses : General Provisions		
Additions of rr.2(1A), (1B), (1C), 2(3) & 7	Amendments of RHC followed except r.2(3) which is not relevant to DC.	The same
Order 8 – Originating and Other Motions : General Provisions		
Amendments in rr.1 & 6	The whole order except r.6 (with latest amendments) and the corresponding forms of RHC added for consistency between RHC & RDC.	The same, with the transitional provision in r.6 of RHC omitted in RDC as motions are not generally available in DC.
Order 9 – Petitions : General Provisions		
Amendments in r.1	The whole order of RHC except r.6 (with latest amendments) added for consistency between RHC & RDC.	Substantially the same, with r.6 of RHC (the right to defend in person) omitted in RDC given O.5A of RDC.

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 10 – Service of Originating Process : General Provisions		
Amendments in r.5(1)	r.5 of RHC (with latest amendments) adopted for consistency between RHC & RDC.	The same
Order 11 – Service of Process, etc., out of Jurisdiction		
(1) Addition of r.1(1)(ob), (oc) and (od)	Amendments of RHC followed, with r.1(1)(oc) omitted as DC has no such jurisdiction.	Substantially the same, with fewer types of cases covered by the rule in RDC.
(2) —	r.9 modified so that it would apply also to notice of motion and petition.	
Order 12 – Acknowledgement of Service of Writ or Originating Summons		
(1) Amendments in r.3	Amendments of RHC followed	Substantially the same, save that rr.1(2A)-(2D) of RHC are not adopted given O.5A of RDC.
(2) Amendments in r.8	Amendments of RHC followed	
(3) Addition of r.11	Amendments of RHC followed	
Order 13 – Failure to Give Notice of Intention to Defend		
—	r.5 amended for consistency between RHC & RDC.	The same

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 13A : Admissions in Claims for Payment of Money		
Addition of O.13A on Admissions	Amendments of RHC followed	The same
Order 14 – Summary Judgment		
Amendments in r.6	Amendments of RHC followed, with r.6 modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A.	The same
Order 14A – Disposal of Case on Point of Law		
Amendments in r.2	Amendments of RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC.	The same
Order 15 – Causes of Action, Counterclaims and Parties		
No amendment	No amendment	The same
Order 16 – Third Party and Similar Proceedings		
Amendments in r.7	Amendments of RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC.	The same
Order 17 - Interpleader		
(1) Amendments in r.3	Amendments of RHC followed	The same

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
(2) —	r.10 modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A.	
Order 18 - Pleadings		
(1) Amendments in rr.2 and 3	Amendments of RHC followed	Substantially the same, with r.5 of RHC (vacation business) omitted in RDC and specific power of DC to frame issue in r.22 of RDC.
(2) Amendments in r.12	Amendments of RHC followed	
(3) Addition of r.12A	Amendments of RHC followed	
(4) Amendments in rr.13 & 14	Amendments of RHC followed	
(5) Amendments in r.19	Amendments of RHC followed, with the provision modified to extend its application to petition	
(6) Amendments in r.20	Amendments of RHC followed	
(7) Addition of r.20A	Amendments of RHC followed	
(8) Amendments in r.21	Amendments of RHC followed, with r.21(3) modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A.	
(9) Additions of rr.23 & 24	Amendments of RHC followed	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 19 – Default of Pleadings		
Amendments in r.7	Amendments of RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC.	The same
Order 20 - Amendment		
(1) — (2) Amendments of rr.8 and 9 (3) Addition of r.13	Consequential amendment in r.7 Amendments of RHC followed Amendments of RHC followed	The same
Order 21 - Withdrawal and Discontinuance		
(1) — (2) Amendments in r.5(2)	r.3(2) modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A. Amendments of RHC not followed as motions are not generally available in DC, with r.5(2) modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A.	The same
Order 22 – Offers to Settle and Payments into Court		
Order substituted by new Order 22 entitled, “Offers to Settle and Payments into Court”.	Amendments of RHC followed	The same

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 22A – Miscellaneous Provisions about Payments into Court		
Addition of O.22A on Miscellaneous Provisions about Payments into Court.	Amendments of RHC followed with modification in r.4 (reference to High Court Suitors’ Funds Rules changed to District Court Suitors’ Funds Rules).	The same
Order 23 – Security for Costs		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 23A – Directions for Actions Begun by Writ		
—	The whole O.23A repealed given the new O.25.	The same
Order 24 – Discovery and Inspection of Documents		
(1) Amendments in r.2(7)	rr.1 & 2 of RHC (with the latest amendments) added for consistency between RHC & RDC.	The same, except r.17 of RHC which is omitted in RDC given the general power of DC to revoke and vary directions or orders under O.32, r.8 of RDC.
(2) Amendments in r.4(2)—	rr.3, 4 & 5 amended to follow the wordings of RHC (with the latest amendments) to maintain consistency.	
(3) Amendments in rr.7A & 8	Amendments of RHC followed, with modification as to the reference to the	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
(4) — (5) Addition of r.15A (6) — (7) —	provisions in the primary legislation. r.9 amended, following the wordings of RHC to maintain consistency. Amendments of RHC followed r.16 amended, following the wordings of RHC to maintain consistency. Special transitional provision added to cover the transitional arrangement for the newly added rr.1 & 2.	
Order 25 – Case Management Summons and Conference		
(1) Amendments in O.25 (2) —	The whole O.25 of RHC (with latest amendments) added for consistency between RHC & RDC. Special transitional provision added to cover the transitional arrangement after the repeal of RDC O.23A & 34.	The same, save that the transitional provision in RDC is specially tailored for DC upon the repeal of O.23A & 34 of RDC.
Order 26 – Interrogatories		
—	r.1(2) modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A .	Unlike HC, interrogatories can only be administered in DC with the leave of court.

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 27 – Admissions		
(1) —	rr.2(1), 4 & 5 modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A.	The same
(2) Amendments in r.3	Amendments in RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC.	
Order 28 – Originating Summons Procedure		
(1) Addition of r.3A	Amendments of RHC followed	The same
(2) Amendments in rr.4(4) & 8(2)	rr.4(4) & 8(2) modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A with the latest amendments in RHC followed.	
(3) —	r.9(3) of RHC added for consistency between RHC & RDC.	
(4) Amendments in r.9(4)	r.9(4) modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A and the replacement of O.34, with the latest amendments in RHC followed.	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 29 – Interlocutory Injunctions, Interim Preservation of Property, Interim Payment, etc.		
(1) Amendments in r.1(2)	Amendments of RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC.	Substantially the same, with the omission of the new r.8A (application for interim relief in aid of foreign proceedings) in RDC.
(2) Amendments in r.7(2)—	rr.2(5), 7(2) & 11(2) amended, following the wordings of RHC (with the latest amendments) to maintain consistency.	
(3) Addition of r.8A	Amendments of RHC not followed, as DC has no such jurisdiction.	
(4) —	r.11(3) of RHC added for consistency between RHC & RDC.	
(5) Amendments in r.14—	Amendments of RHC followed, with r.14 modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A.	
(6) Amendments in r.16	Amendments of RHC followed	
Order 30 - Receivers		
(1) Amendments in r.1(1)	Amendments of RHC not followed as motions are not generally available in DC. r.1(1) amended, following the wordings	Substantially the same, with the omission of the new r.9 (appointment of receiver in aid of proceedings outside Hong Kong) in RDC.

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
(2) Addition of r.9	of RHC to maintain consistency. Amendments of RHC not followed, as DC has no such jurisdiction.	
Order 31 – Sales, etc., of Land by Order of Court		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 31 – Interlocutory Applications and Other Proceedings in Chambers		
(1) Amendments in r.11 (2) Additions of rr.11A & 11B	Amendments of RHC not followed, as DC has no such jurisdiction. Amendments of RHC followed	Substantially the same, with the following differences - (1) RHC O.32, r.9 (applications under Mental Health Ordinance), r.10 (application to make order of Court of Final Appeal order of Court of First Instance) and the new r.11(1)(da) (application relating to vexatious litigants under s.27 of HCO) are omitted in RDC; and (2) RDC O.32, r.8 (revocation and variation of directions or orders) and r.17(2) (no appeal from Registrar’s decision to refer matter to a judge) specific to DC.

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 33 – Place and Mode of Trial		
Deletion of r.4(1) and consequential amendments in r.4(2)	Amendments of RHC followed	Substantially the same, with no provision for trial by jury in RDC.
Order 34 – Setting Down for Trial Action Begun by Writ		
(1) Amendments in rr.3(1) and 8(3)	The whole O.34 repealed and replaced by the same order in RHC (with the latest amendments) to maintain consistency.	The same, with no provision for trial by jury in DC.
Order 35 – Procedure at Trial		
(1) Addition of r.3A (2) — (3) Amendments in r.13(1)	Amendments of RHC followed r.10(b) amended, following the wordings of RHC to maintain consistency. Amendments in RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC.	Substantially the same, with no provision for trial by jury in RDC.
Order 36 – Trial Before , and Inquiries by, Master		
(1) —	Heading of the Order amended, following that of RHC to maintain consistency.	The same

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
(2) —	r.9(3)(d) amended, following the wordings of RHC to maintain consistency.	
Order 37 – Damages : Assessment after Judgment and Orders for Provisional Damages		
(1) —	r.1(1) amended to enable assessments in DC to be done by a judge or a master.	The same
(2) —	Existing r.1(1A) repealed and replaced by the same provision in RHC to maintain consistency.	
(3) —	r.2 amended, following the wordings of RHC to maintain consistency.	
(4) Amendments in r.4(3)	r.4 of RHC (with the latest amendments) added for consistency between RHC & RDC, but deleting the reference to jury trial	
(5) Amendments in r.10(4) & (5)	Amendments of RHC followed, r.10(4) modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A.	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 38 - Evidence		
(1) —	r.2(3) amended, extending its application to “originating motion or petition”.	Substantially the same, with r.19 of RHC (writ of subpoena in aid of inferior court or tribunal) omitted in RDC.
(2) Amendments in r.2A	r.2A amended, following the wordings of RHC with the latest amendments (except : (i) the reference to jury trial be deleted; and (ii) Clerk of Court be substituted by Chief Judicial Clerk).	
(3) Addition of r.4A	Amendments of RHC followed	
(4) —	r.6 of RHC added for consistency between RHC & RDC.	
(5) Amendments in r.7	Amendments of RHC followed with r.7(1)(a) modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A.	
(6) Amendments in r.35	Amendments of RHC followed, and sub-rule (1) modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A.	
(7) Addition of r.35A	Amendments of RHC followed	
(8) —	r.36(1)(b) modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
(9) Additions of rr.37A, 37B & 37C	Amendments of RHC followed	
(10) Amendments of r.41	Amendments of RHC not followed, as they are not required for RDC	
(11) Amendments of r.43	Amendments of RHC followed	
Order 39 – Evidence by Deposition		
—	No amendment	Substantially the same, with r.15 of RHC (perpetuation of testimony) omitted and no provision for ‘letter of request’ proceedings in RDC.
Order 40 – Court Expert		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 41 - Affidavits		
—	No amendment	The same
Addition of new O.41A on Statements of Truth	Amendments of RHC followed	The same
Order 42 – Judgments and Orders		
(1) —	r.4(3)(k) of RHC added for consistency between RHC & RDC.	Substantially the same, with some differences in the wordings of r.5B because of the different governing provisions in the primary

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
(2) — (3) Amendments of r.5A(2)(b)(vi)	r.4(3)(1) amended, following the wordings of RHC to maintain consistency. Amendments of RHC followed	legislations.
Order 43 – Accounts and Inquiries		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 44 – Proceedings under Judgments and Orders		
—	r.12(1) of RDC amended and r.12(1A) of RHC added, for consistency between RHC & RDC.	The same
Order 44A – Probation Order before and after Judgment and Attachment of Property before Judgment		
—	rr.5 & 12 amended for consistency between RHC & RDC.	The same
Order 45 – Enforcement of Judgments and Order : General		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 46 – Writ of Execution : General		
Amendments in r.5	Amendments of RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC.	The same

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 47 – Writs of Fieri Facias		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 48 – Examination of Judgment Debtor, etc		
—	r.3 amended, following the wordings of RHC to maintain consistency.	The same
Order 49 – Garnishee Proceedings		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 49B – Execution and Enforcement of Judgment for Money by Imprisonment		
—	r.1AA of RHC added for consistency between RHC & RDC.	The same
Order 50 – Charging Orders, Stop Orders, etc.		
Amendments in r.15(2)	Amendments of RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC. r.15 amended to maintain consistency with RHC.	Substantially the same, with r.16 of RDC retained to clarify that O.50 shall not affect O.81, r.10 in relation to charging partner’s interest in partnership property.

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 51 – Receivers : Equitable Execution		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 52 - Committal		
(1) Amendments in rr.3 & 6(3)	Amendments of RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC.	The same
(2) —	r.3(1A) of RHC added for consistency between RHC & RDC	
(3) —	r.6(3) amended, following the wordings of RHC (except the latest amendments) to maintain consistency.	
Order 58 - Appeals		
(1) Amendments in r.1	Amendments of RHC followed, with r.1 amended given the amendments in s.63 of DCO	Substantially different, as leave is generally required to appeal against any decision made in the civil proceedings in DC whereas leave to appeal is only required for interlocutory decisions in HC
(2) —	r.2 amended: (1) to clarify that an appeal against an order for imprisonment under O.49B of a master should be to CA as of right, an appeal against a	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
	<p>final decision of a master under O.49B should be to CA with the leave of court, and an appeal against an interlocutory decision under O.49B of a master should be to a judge in chambers;</p> <p>(2) to standardized the time limits for appeals to CA from HC & DC; and</p> <p>(3) given the new s.63A(1)(b) of DCO.</p>	
(3) —	<p>r.3 deleted, given O.59, r.13(1) of RHC which is also applicable in relation to an appeal from DC.</p>	
(4) —	<p>r.4 (which is modeled on O.59, r.21 of RHC) added to provide what are interlocutory judgments and orders for the purpose of determining the time limit for appeal</p>	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 62 - Costs		
(1) Addition of new definitions in r.1(1)	Amendments of RHC followed	Substantially the same, with the following major differences-
(2) Amendments in r.2(2)	Amendments of RHC not followed, as DC has no such jurisdiction	(1) no provision in RDC to deal with taxation of bill of costs of solicitors under the Legal Practitioners Ordinance:
(3) Amendments in r.3	Amendments of RHC followed	(2) no provision in RDC to deal with taxation of costs in arbitration proceedings;
(4) Amendments in r.4(3)	rr.4(2) and (3) of RHC (with the latest amendments and with modification to suit DC) added for consistency between RHC & RDC	(3) scale of costs is usually 2/3 of that awarded in the Court of First Instance (r.32(1A) of RDC);
(5) Amendments in rr.5, 6A & 7	Amendments of RHC followed	(4) certificate of counsel is required in the District Court for recovery of counsel's fee for a claim less than \$150,000 (Schedule 1, Part II, Item 2(3) of RDC)
(6) Amendments in r.8	Amendments of RHC followed, with modification as to the reference to the provisions in the primary legislation	
(7) Additions of rr.8A, 8B, 8C & 8D	Amendments of RHC followed	
(8) Amendments in rr.9 & 9A	Amendments of RHC followed	
(9) Additions of rr.9B, 9C & 9D	Amendments of RHC followed	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
(10) Amendments in r.10	Amendments of RHC followed	
(11) —	r.11 modified for consistency with RHC	
(12) Addition of r.11A	Amendments of RHC followed	
(13) Amendments in rr. 12 & 13	Amendments of RHC followed	
(14) Addition of r.13A	Amendments of RHC followed	
(15) Addition of rr.17A & 17B	Amendments of RHC followed	
(16) Amendments in r.21	Amendments of RHC followed	
(17) Addition of rr.21A, 21B, 21C & 21D	Amendments of RHC followed	
(18) Amendments in r.22	Amendments of RHC followed	
(19) Deletion of rr.23 & 25	Amendments of RHC followed	
(20) Amendments in rr.24, 26, 28(6) & 28A	Amendments of RHC followed, except r.28(6) which is not required for RDC	
(21) Addition of rr.32A, 32B & 32C	Amendments of RHC followed	
(22) Amendments in rr.33	Amendments of RHC followed	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
(23) Amendments in r.35	Amendments of RHC not followed, as they are not required for RDC	
(24) Addition of rr.36 and 37	Amendments of RHC followed	
(25) Amendments in item 1 & addition of item 1A in Schedule 1, Part I	Amendments of RHC followed	
(26) Amendments in Schedule 1, Part I, Item 2	Amendments of RHC followed, save that the figure is about 2/3 as that in HC	
(27) Amendments in Schedule 1, Part I, Item 3	Amendments of RHC not followed, as the figure is considered appropriate for DC	
(28) —	Schedule 1, Part I, Item 5(a)(viii) amended, following the wordings of RHC to maintain consistency	
(29) Deletion of Schedule 1, Part II, Item 1(1)	Amendments of RHC not followed, there is no such provision in RDC	
(30) Amendments in Schedule 1, Part II, Item 2	Amendments of RHC followed, except item 2(3) as DC has different provision for certificate of counsel.	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
(31) Amendments in the scale costs and fixed costs in Schedule 2	Amendments of RHC generally followed, save that the costs in DC is approximately 2/3 as that in HC.	
Order 62A – Costs Offer and Payments into Court		
Addition of the new O.62A on Costs Offer and Payments into Court.	Amendments of RHC followed	The same
Order 63 - Registry		
—	No amendment	Substantially the same, with rr.8 (inspection of powers of attorney) and 10 (enrolment of instrument) of RHC omitted which are not relevant to the District Court.
Order 64 – Court Offices		
—	No amendment	Substantially the same, with no provision for vacations in RDC.
Order 65 – Service of Documents		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 66 – Paper, Printing, Notices and Copies		
—	No amendment	The same

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 67 – Change of Solicitor		
Amendments in r.5(2)	Amendments of RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC.	The same
Order 68 – Particular Proceedings		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 72 – Particular Proceedings		
Amendments in r.8	Amendments of RHC followed, with r.8 modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A.	The same
Order 77 – Proceedings by and against Government		
(1) Amendments in rr.9 & 18 (2) —	Amendments of RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC. r.12(1) modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A	Substantially the same, with rr.14 and 18 of RHC omitted as they are not relevant to the District Court (relating to certain proceedings involving the Government).

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 78 – Proceedings of Court of First Instance transferred to the Court		
—	r.3(2) modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A	Substantially different, as the rules deal with proceedings transferred to the court from another court.
Order 79 – Tribunal Proceedings Transferred or Removed to the Court		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 80 - Disability		
(1) —	r.3(6) amended and r.6(3) of RHC added for consistency between RHC & RDC	Substantially the same, with the provisions in r.12(3) & r.13 of RHC for HC to direct money to be paid into or transferred to DC omitted in RDC
(2) Amendments in r.11(1)	Amendments of RHC followed	
(3) Amendments in r.15	Amendments of RHC followed	
(4) —	r.16(4) amended for consistency with RHC	
Order 81 – Partners		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 82 – Defamation Actions		
Amendments in r.4	Amendments of RHC followed	Substantially the same, with the omission of r.8 of RHC (for application under s.25 of the

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
		Defamation Ordinance) which is not relevant to the District Court.
Order 83A – Money Lenders’ Action		
Amendments in r.2(1)	Amendments of RHC followed	The same
Order 84A – Actions Arising Out of Hire-Purchase or Conditional Sale Agreements		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 85 – Administration and Similar Actions		
—	No amendment	The same
Order 86 – Actions for Specific Performance, etc. : Summary Judgment		
Amendments in r.5—	Amendments of RHC followed, with r.5 modified upon the repeal of RDC O.23A.	The same
Order 88 – Mortgage Actions		
Amendments in r.7	Amendments of RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC.	The same

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 89 – Proceedings between Husband and Wife		
(1) Amendments in r.1(1)	Amendments of RHC followed	The same
(2) Amendments in r.2(2)	Amendments of RHC not followed, as motions are not generally available in DC	
Order 90 – Proceedings Concerning Minor		
(1) Amendments in r.3(1)	Amendments of RHC not followed, as DC has no such jurisdiction	Different, because of the difference in jurisdiction
(2) —	r.1(1) amended, providing that the application may be made by originating summons	
Order 90A – Proceedings Concerning Judgment Summons		
—	No amendment	Specific rule for RDC
Order 92 – Lodgment, Investment etc. of Funds in Court		
Amendments in r.5(5)	Amendments of RHC followed	The same
Order 93 – Applications under Variation of Trusts ordinance		
—	No amendment	The same

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
Order 113 – Summary Proceedings for Possession of Land		
—	No amendment	The same
Appendix A - Forms		
(1) Amendments in Form No. 1	Amendments of RHC followed	Substantially the same
(2) Amendments in Form No. 10	Amendments of RHC followed	
(3) —	Form No. 13 of RHC added, with modification to cater for the proceedings in the District Court	
(4) Amendments in Form No. 14	Amendments of RHC followed with modification to cater for DC	
(5) Amendments in Form No. 15	Amendments of RHC followed with modification to cater for DC	
(6) Additions of Forms Nos. 15A, 16, 16A, 16B, 16C, 16D & 16E	Amendments of RHC followed with modification to cater for DC	
(7) Amendments in Form No. 17	Amendments of RHC followed with modification to cater for DC	

Major Proposed Amendments to RHC	Proposed Amendments to RDC	Comparison between the RHC & RDC after the Proposed Amendments
(8) Amendments in Form Nos. 23 & 24	Amendments of RHC followed with modification to cater for DC	
(9) Addition of Form Nos. 25 & 25A	Addition of forms followed	
(10) —	Form No. 26 amended, following the wordings of RHC to maintain consistency	
(11) —	Form No. 38 of RHC added, with modification to cater for proceedings in the District Court	
(12) Amendments in Form No.81	Amendments of RHC followed	
(13) Amendments in Form No.85	Amendments of RHC followed	
(14) Addition of Form Nos.93 & 93A	Amendments of RHC followed	
Others		
Addition of the new Appendix D on Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses	Amendments of RHC followed	The same

**Proposed Amendments to
the District Court Civil Procedure (Fees) Rules (Cap. 336C)**

Proposed Amendments to the High Court Fees Rules	Proposed Amendments to the District Court Civil Procedure (Fees) Rules	Comparison between Amendments for HC & DC
New r. 5 on transitional arrangement added	Amendments followed in new r.8	The same
Amendments in the Schedule, items 19 & 19a	Amendments generally followed in items 20 & 20a, with the scale of charge of DC retained.	The same
Amendments in the Schedule, items 23 & 25	Amendments not followed, as DC has no such jurisdiction.	The same

**Proposed Amendments to
the District Court Suitors' Funds Rules (Cap. 336E)**

Proposed Amendments to the High Court Suitors' Funds Rules	Proposed Amendments to the District Court Suitors' Funds Rules	Comparison between amendments for HC & DC
Amendments in r.16(3A)	r.16(3A) of High Court Suitors' Funds Rules (with the latest amendments) added, given the new O.22 and to maintain consistency between the practice of HC and DC	The same